Prediction of Thermal Coal Prices in Qinhuangdao Port Based on ARIMA-AHP Model
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ABSTRACT

Aiming at the price of commodity coal, this paper logically and comprehensively uses the factor analysis dimensionality reduction method, the time series analysis prediction method, and the AHP decision method. And finally constructed the ARIMA-AHP combined model. Combined with this model, we can use R, PYTHON, and other software to program the solution, and give a short-term accurate forecast of thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao. The conclusions drawn from the study are the main influencing factors of coal price, the internal structure of coal price time series, and the weight ranking of uncertain influencing factors.
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1. INTRODUCTION

From 2012 till now, problems such as structural overcapacity, falling average prices, and declining efficiency have plagued the coal market industry. To promote the sustainable and healthy development of the coal industry, the General Office of the State Council of China has repeatedly issued emphasis on the urgent need to promote the smooth operation of the coal industry. Moreover, the report of the 19th National Congress of the Communist Party of China has repeatedly emphasized the strengthening of industry development to protect
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my country's traditional resources. Therefore, regardless of the macro or micro level, the reasonable inheritance and strategic structural reform of the coal resource industry are inevitable, which further invisibly forms the driving factor for all walks of life to pay attention to the price forecast of the important core economic indicators of the coal industry. As a bulk commodity, coal resources are one of the most important strategic energy sources in my country. They are the upstream industries of the basic industries of the national economy such as the power industry, building materials industry, and metallurgical industry. The price level orientation of its resource status is extremely sensitive, and the magnitude of changes directly affects the national economy. Whether the long-term development of the economy is stable or not, the research and prediction of coal prices are of extraordinary significance to the strategic formulation of relevant enterprises and the policy formulation of government departments.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ning Hui et al. [1] used a rolling time window support vector regression machine to predict the model, and accumulated changes over time to achieve the prediction result; According to the coal market price data in the first half of 2019, the coal price in the second half of 2019 was predicted by Liu Bo et al. [2] through visual trend forecast; Chang Lidan et al. [3] reasonably used artificial neural network construction, built a nonlinear model to train the law of coal price fluctuation, and predicted the coal price in the later period. Price trend. Finally, the accuracy of the model is predicted by error comparison analysis. However, none of these studies took into account the uncertainties in the actual fluctuations of the coal market, such as the unpredictable new crown epidemic in this period. So the problems were not considered comprehensively.

2.1 Data Sources and Model Assumptions

The data in this paper comes from a real-time database about the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port based on China Coal Information Network. To facilitate the research question, the following assumptions are made: (1) The unanimous assumption of the freight trains is that the internal combustion engine drives the combustion of diesel oil; (2) A month is 30 days; (3) It is assumed that the policy issued by the relevant state departments will affect the coal price with a delay and no suddenness; (4) It is assumed that the official data has been obtained. The error is small and there is no falsehood [4].

2.2 Main Influencing Factors of Coal Price Based on Factor Analysis

2.2.1 Research ideas

Relevant data are collected in combination with several impact indicators identified by previous scholars in related fields [5]. After the data is standardized and preprocessed, it is imported through SPSS data processing software and then subjected to factor analysis and dimension reduction processing, and the panel data corresponding to time series prices are collected. There are 9 main influencing factors on coal price analyzed in this paper, including temperature, coal substitutes (crude oil) [6], upstream and downstream products (natural gas), industry concentration, inventory consumption ratio, cost, and net international coal import and export, economic growth, and the supervision of relevant government departments. In addition, the correlation coefficient was obtained by using MATLAB software, and finally, the main influencing factors affecting the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port were sorted by the correlation coefficient in the model.

2.2.2 Research method

Determine the factors affecting coal prices by consulting relevant websites and papers and consulting front-line market staff. One of the first-level indicators and quantification is interpreted as a cost factor, climate change, mode of travel (month-on-month growth rate of rail passenger traffic), international coal import and export net value, domestic coal market (stock-to-consumption ratio), supervision by relevant state departments, complementary alternative resources (crude oil, natural gas production), industrial concentration (CR4), competition in the industry (single-digit month-on-month growth rate of coal enterprises), economic growth (Consumer Price Increase Index). The secondary indicators and quantitative explanations are as follows: production cost, operating cost of washing, transportation cost ([average daily coal loading * average loading volume per vehicle * average wholesale price of diesel] * 30), humidity, temperature, total import (average import price * import volume), total export (average export price * export volume), coal inventory in key power plants (days available for
power generation group inventory), demand (coal consumption in steel, electricity, fertilizer, and cement industries), environmental protection policy (membership range (0,1), satisfactory degree range (-1,1)).

After the standardized data is imported into the data processing software, it needs to undergo factor analysis to reduce dimensionality, but before that, it is necessary to perform the KMO test and the Bartlett sphericity fitness test on the sample data to make a rational judgment on whether the collected data is suitable for factor analysis. Getting a KMO value of 0.727 and a Bartlett p value is 0.0002 which is less than the significance level of 0.05. Therefore, the null hypothesis can be rejected at the significance level. According to the test results, the sample data in this paper meet the preconditions for principal component analysis or factor analysis.

The factor loading matrix obtained from the analysis is shown in Table 1 and the factor analysis score coefficient matrix is shown in Table 2.

See Table 1, Based on the premise that the eigenvalue is greater than 0.5, three main components are extracted, namely the three first index categories. Based on the premise that the eigenvalue is greater than 1, three main components, namely the three major categories of the first indicators, are extracted, which are: X_2 Temperature, X_4 Supervision by relevant state departments, X_11 Natural gas production, and X_12 Crude oil production. In the second category of ingredients are: X_1 Cost, X_2 Temperature, X_5 International coal import and export net, and X_8 Industry concentration. In the third category of components, there are: X_6 Domestic coal market (stock-to-consumption ratio), and X_10 Economic growth.

Table 1. Factor loading matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1 COST</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>0.781</td>
<td>0.363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2 TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>0.575</td>
<td>0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3 HUMIDITY</td>
<td>-0.773</td>
<td>-0.922</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 TRAVEL MODE</td>
<td>-0.139</td>
<td>0.391</td>
<td>-0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5 INTERNATIONAL COAL IMPORT AND EXPORT NET</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6 DOMESTIC COAL MARKET</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>-0.366</td>
<td>0.797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X7 SUPERVISION BY RELEVANT STATE DEPARTMENTS</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>-0.636</td>
<td>-0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X8 INDUSTRIAL CONCENTRATION</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X9 COMPETITION IN THE INDUSTRY</td>
<td>-0.558</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X10 ECONOMIC GROWTH</td>
<td>-0.204</td>
<td>0.173</td>
<td>0.671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X11 NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION</td>
<td>0.902</td>
<td>-0.259</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X12 CRUDE OIL PRODUCTION</td>
<td>0.934</td>
<td>-0.133</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Table 2, the linear estimation function of the mathematical model of the total score can be established by combining the component score coefficient matrix and the weighting of the variance contribution rate to the cumulative contribution rate, as shown below:

\[
Y = 0.47(-0.043X_1 + \cdots + 0.239X_{12}) + 0.28(0.231X_1 + \cdots -0.039X_{12}) + 0.25(0.182X_1 + \cdots -0.104X_{12})
\]

Table 2. Factor score coefficient matrix (extraction method: principal component analysis)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>X1 COST</td>
<td>-0.043</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>0.182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X2 TEMPERATURE</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>0.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X3 HUMIDITY</td>
<td>-0.198</td>
<td>-0.155</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X4 TRAVEL MODE</td>
<td>-0.036</td>
<td>0.116</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Element</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X5 INTERNATIONAL COAL IMPORT AND EXPORT NET</td>
<td>-0.048</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X6 DOMESTIC COAL MARKET</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-0.108</td>
<td>0.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X7 SUPERVISION BY RELEVANT STATE DEPARTMENTS</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X8 INDUSTRIAL CONCENTRATION</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.234</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X9 COMPETITION IN THE INDUSTRY</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X10 ECONOMIC GROWTH</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.337</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X11 NATURAL GAS PRODUCTION</td>
<td>0.231</td>
<td>-0.077</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X12 CRUDE OIL PRODUCTION</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.104</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.2.3 Result analysis

Finally, the main influencing factors affecting coal prices are obtained by taking the factor load matrix union: average temperature, coal substitutes, upstream and downstream products, industrial concentration, inventory consumption ratio, cost, net international coal import and export, economic growth, Supervision by relevant state departments.

### 2.3 Time Series Structure of Coal Price

#### 2.3.1 Research ideas

The R software is used to draw a time series chart about the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port, and the difference method is adopted to smoothly pass the residual analysis stationarity test until the paper has established the ARIMA model. Combined with the principal component linear regression model before this paper, the paper is able to predict the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port, but which is a range of short-term prices. Its model establishment framework is shown in Fig. 1.

#### 2.3.2 Research method

This paper uses PYTHON to import the collected CSV time series data to draw the weekly price time series chart to test the stability. It is observed that there is a clear downward trend. So the difference method is adopted to obtain the expected relatively stable data, then the paper obtains the number of differences (order) that is \( d = 1 \), which makes the model a stationary series. In order to further accurately check the non-white noise of the time series model, the residuals of the time series model are analyzed. The normal distribution verification diagram of the standard residual results is shown in Fig. 2, and in the ADF unit root test, it can be considered that the null hypothesis is rejected at the significance level, that is, the difference time series is stationary.

![ARIMA model establishment framework](image-url)
It can be seen from Fig. 2 that the red line is the standard normal distribution curve, and the model data is stable and satisfies the normal distribution. After verification, it can be used for time series forecasting. The following is the identification and fitting of the ARIMA model for the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port within weeks. By observing Fig. 3, it is analyzed that the coefficients of $ACF$ and $PACF$ are stable within the marked difference after the lag period, which is a first-order tail. In the end, this paper obtained the autoregressive term $p = 1$ and the moving average term $q = 1$.

The model can be identified as ARIMA(1,1,1) as shown below. The parameters of the model were estimated using the $Arima$ function in the $forecast$ package in the R software, which passed the model $Box–Pierce$ significance test and the model parameter significance $t$-test [7] respectively. By comparing the possible AR(1) and MA(1) models, this model shows a small size on the $AIC$ and $BIC$ information criteria [8] and has a good fit. Considering the influence of the main factors on the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port, the obtained multiple linear regression equation shown below was combined with the autoregressive model. The mean coefficient polynomial of the variable was obtained from the regression model and the ARIMA standard model. The improved ARIMA model looks like this:

$$y_t = \mu + \sum_{\theta} \Theta(B) \Phi(B)^{-1} X_t + \epsilon_t, \epsilon_t = (\mu + \frac{\sum_{\theta} \Theta(B)}{\sum \Phi(B)}) B^k X_t$$

Where $\mu$ is the constant term, $k$ is the order, and $\epsilon_t$ is the regression residual [9]. According to $\gamma_t$, the future thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port can be predicted.
2.4 Uncertainty Factors Affecting Coal Prices

2.4.1 Research ideas

For some sudden or silent hidden factors of uncertainty, it becomes unpredictable. Some uncertain factors affecting some important secondary indicators of coal prices are sorted out by consulting the data. Using MATLAB to apply the AHP to the sample data, construct a suitable hierarchical structure model, obtain the comparative discriminant matrix and pass the consistency test, and then obtain the linear model of the influencing factors of uncertainty. Combined with the combination model above, a comprehensive coal price prediction model is finally established.

2.4.2 Research method

Therefore, by consulting relevant website information and communicating with front-line staff, our team has concluded that the general direction uncertainty influencing factors (quasi-measurement layer) corresponding to thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port A (target layer) are: objective environmental factors $B_1$, market structure driving factor $B_2$, subjective direct connection factor $B_3$. The standard layer corresponds to their unique plan layers: market economic fluctuations $P_1$ (inflation, deflation, tax policy, etc.), major macro policy adjustments $P_2$, sudden natural or man-made disasters $P_3$, population mobility $P_4$ (structural unemployment, Excessive generation elements, etc.), related technological breakthroughs $P_5$. Establish a hierarchical structure model for the evaluation of uncertainty influencing factors (as shown in Fig. 4).

When $A$ is the comparison criterion, the mutual comparison judgment matrix of each uncertainty influencing factor in the $B$ layer is $A$, to transmit the next level. When $B$ is the comparison criterion, the mutual comparison judgment matrix of the corresponding $P$ layer under $B_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ is $B_i - P(i = 1, 2, 3)$. The team obtained 4 discriminant matrices by understanding the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port related website and papers, communicating with the front-line work consultants, communicating with the local statistical bureau work consultants, and synthesizing the weights of the three first-level indicators of the above problems, that is, one second The discriminant matrix of the layer to the first layer, and the discriminant matrix of the three third layers to the second layer. Use MATLAB programming to solve, import the above discriminant matrix, and get the largest eigenvalue and the corresponding matching eigenvector. After standardizing it, you can get the relative importance weight vector of the corresponding level single ordering, consistency Indicator $CI$, consistency ratio $CR$. See Table 3.

![Hierarchical structure of uncertainty factors](image_url)

Table 3. Calculation results of uncertain factors affecting thermal coal prices in qinhuangdao port

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Matrix</th>
<th>Hierarchical single sorted weight vector</th>
<th>$\lambda_{max}$</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>RI</th>
<th>CR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A-B</td>
<td>(0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)</td>
<td>3.0385</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_1-P</td>
<td>(0.8535,0.3767,0.3210,0.0903,0.1355)</td>
<td>5.4276</td>
<td>0.1069</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.0955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_2-P</td>
<td>(0.5478,0.7984,0.2008,0.1492)</td>
<td>4.1906</td>
<td>0.0635</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.0706</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B_3-P</td>
<td>(0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)</td>
<td>3.0385</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.0332</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
It can be seen from the observation that the CR results of the single-ranking of the four levels are all less than 0.1, which is in line with the satisfactory consistency test. There is a sorted vector of layer B relative to layer A: \[ W^2 = (0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)^T \]. And the sorting vector of the \( P \) layer when the factor \( B \) is the criterion:

\[
\begin{align*}
& P_1^{(3)} = (0.8535, 0.5478, 0.3715)^T \\
& P_2^{(3)} = (0.5478, 0.7984, 0.2008, 0.1492)^T \\
& P_3^{(3)} = (0.9161, 0.1506, 0.3715)^T
\end{align*}
\]

Combining the above, the sorting vector of the \( P \) layer relative to the \( A \) layer is:

\[
W^3 = (P_1^{(3)}, P_2^{(3)}, P_3^{(3)}) W^2 = \\
\begin{pmatrix}
0.8535 & 0.5478 & 0 \\
0.3767 & 0.7984 & 0.9161 \\
0.3210 & 0 & 0 \\
0.0903 & 0.2008 & 0.1506 \\
0.1355 & 0.1492 & 0.3715
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= (0.8644, 0.8057, 0.2941, 0.1689, 0.2846)^T
\]

The consistency check results are as follows:

\[
CI^{(2)} = CI^{(2)} W^{(2)} = (0.1069, 0.0635, 0.0193) (0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)^T = 0.1147
\]
\[
RI^{(2)} = RI^{(2)} W^{(2)} = (0.12, 0.90, 0.58) (0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)^T = 0.4609
\]
\[
CR^{(3)} = CI^{(2)} / RI^{(2)} = 0.0332 + 0.1147 / 0.4609 = 0.282
\]

Therefore:

\[
CI^{(3)} = CI^{(2)} W^{(2)} = (0.1069, 0.0635, 0.0193) (0.9161,0.1506,0.3715)^T = 0.1147
\]

Conclusion: Hierarchical total ranking also passed the consistency test. Therefore, the uncertainty influencing factor model is a multivariate linear model, which is more conducive to the construction of the coal price comprehensive prediction model.

\[
Y = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 P_1 + \gamma_2 P_2 + \ldots + \gamma_i P_i
\]

Combined with the synthetic mathematical model given by the ARIMA model, the final comprehensive mathematical model is obtained:

\[
y_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_i(B) \phi_i(B) X_t + \gamma_0 P_1 + \ldots + \gamma_i P_i + \epsilon_t
\]

2.4.3 Result analysis

By normalizing the previous comprehensive weights, the weights of the five schemes to the target are 0.3577, 0.3334, 0.1217, 0.0695, and 0.1178. From this, it is concluded that the five scenarios are ranked 1, 2, 3, 5, 4 on the target impact. The fluctuation of the market economy involves all aspects of the commodity economy, and it has a repeated cycle. Hyperinflation will directly affect the long-term, universal, and irreversible rise of prices and the devaluation of the currency. The coal price market has both the production supply chain and the consumer demand side. Great hindrance. Austerity has led to a decrease in social investment, and coal enterprises are unable to produce in large quantities due to the shortage of investment ports. Although prices have fallen, it is due to the nature of human beings. People will delay consumption as much as possible, thus reducing the scale of consumption. Therefore, the market economic fluctuations that affect the uncertainty factors of coal prices have a high weight. And the major adjustment of macro policies can directly or indirectly affect the strategic development direction of coal enterprises, which has a
Constructive and guiding influence, so the weight is high [10]. Uncertain factors of natural or manmade disasters will affect the external market environment more. Sudden disasters will inevitably lead to the take-off of a few industries, and the slump of most industries will have a wave effect. For related technological breakthroughs, uncertainty factors in the labor force bring more liberation of productivity and a qualitative leap in the production chain, but in the long run, its periodicity is too long [11]. Although population mobility is indeed a reasonable factor affecting labor prices, However, with the development of related technologies and the optimization of management, the price of productivity affected by labor is almost the same in the automated production chain that prevails today.

3. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Finally, based on the above research and demonstration, we found that the main influencing factors affecting the thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao Port are $X_1$, Cost, $X_2$, Temperature, $X_3$, International coal import and export net, $X_4$, Domestic coal market, $X_5$, Supervision by relevant state departments, $X_6$, Industry concentration, $X_{10}$, Economic growth, $X_{11}$, Natural gas production and $X_{12}$, Crude oil production; the approximate estimation of the time series internal structure of thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port is ARIMA(1,1,1); and the weights of uncertainty influencing factors are ranked from high to low as market economic fluctuations $P_1$, major macro policy adjustments $P_2$, sudden natural or man-made disasters $P_3$, related technological breakthroughs $P_5$, and population mobility $P_4$ respectively. Through a comprehensive mathematical model, namely

$$y_t = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^{5} \theta_i(B)B^iX_{it} + \gamma_iP_i + \cdots + \gamma_iP_{it} + \epsilon_t$$

we can obtain future prices from the historical data of thermal coal prices in Qinhuangdao port, so that we can make constructive suggestions to relevant departments.

This paper suggests that enterprise risk management departments should always be vigilant against hidden uncertain fluctuation factors and do a good job of early prediction and later avoidance; government management departments also need to mobilize macro policies in a timely manner to keep pace with development.

The advantage of this paper is that it fits the real market environment and explores the impact of uncertain behavioral factors on coal prices. The established model effectively and reasonably links the internal and external mechanisms of normalized and abnormal coal prices, and the direction of mechanism visualization is also written. narration, so that information users can see the essential problems from the outside to the inside through the phenomenon. Of course, there are also some shortcomings. For the subjective comparison of experts in AHP, the weighted sampling is more accidental. Due to the lack of resources, the average and reasonable judgment results of most experts are not obtained. For the establishment of the ARIMA model, due to the limitations of its own stochastic process rational spectrum, As a result, the model cannot make long-term predictions. In this paper, the ARIMA-AHP model solves the short-term price forecast of the coal market, and there are many resources in the same bulk energy status. The commonality of these resources can be attributed to the fact that they can enter the circulation market, but they are notretailed. Therefore, whether in industry, agriculture, or service industries, they are all material commodities that are produced and consumed in large quantities. Therefore, this model can be used by analogy, involving other commodities. Price forecast. For example, wood, steel, minerals, and other material resources. Of course, when using this model, it should be combined with the actual situation of the relevant departments, and it is necessary to satisfy the scientific nature of the determination indicators and the authenticity of the indicator weights.
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